

Summary Remarks on Meeting

Thank you

- Thank you to Barry Barish, Gerry Dugan, and Pier Oddone for coming to the meeting and sharing their thoughts.
- We appreciate very much our international colleagues who traveled so far
- Thank you to group co-leaders.
- Thanks to SMTF members for working so hard!.

Questions Raised at Board Meeting

1. What is the most effective way to utilize the expertise in the SMTF collaboration for the advancement of the Fermilab mission to host the ILC?
2. How should SMTF Collaboration interact with Fermilab, GDE and other laboratories?
3. What is the mission of the SMTF Collaboration, its institutional and technical board?
4. Too many meetings with three regional collaborations
5. Several projects under one collaboration (SMTF)
6. How is the work we are doing get “projectized”

Name Change Needed!

We will address in coming days.

One proposal is:

“ Superconducting Technology Evolution for
Linear Accelerators”

STELA

Sub Names Needed

For each of the projects we talk about, we
Need new and exciting names.

Example for ILC:

ILCTA or ILCTF

SMTF Characterization

- We are the SRF experts
- Members from many labs in US and worldwide
- Several different projects being represented
- Many of the labs have very sophisticated infrastructure
- We have a strong intellectual engagement, at a level far deeper than workshops and conferences
- We are identifying critical areas for projects, finding the gaps, and ways to bridge those gaps
- We doing important activities together, essential to bridge the existing gaps in upcoming projects,
- We are sharing expertise and infrastructure

Connection to Fermilab

- SMTF has played a crucial role in connecting Fermilab with world's experts
- Fermilab continues to advance its SRF program because the SMTF collaboration is focused on progress in cavity and cryomodule issues.
- It is the lessons learned in these very technical areas that will allow Fermilab to catch up and develop a state-of-the-art SRF center
- This has started to bring new collaborators to ILC

Evolution Plans

- We are proposing to our colleagues in Asia and Europe that we have three meetings per year, one in each region and that the agendas are commonly discussed
- KEK Colleagues have suggested that by the time of meeting in India, the three world groups might have thought about this issue enough that we can discuss and perhaps decide how to proceed.

Safe Trip Home